

#### DYNAMIC PRICING WITH ONLINE DATA Aggregation and Learning

<u>Gianmarco Genalti<sup>1</sup></u>, Marco Mussi<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Nuara<sup>2</sup> and Nicola Gatti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Politecnico di Milano <sup>2</sup> ML cube

15<sup>th</sup> European Workshop on Reinforcement Learning, Milan

#### Sequential decision processes in real-world applications

°.:

**Dynamic pricing** 



Advertising optimization



Content recommendation





Medical trials

# **Dynamic Pricing in Research**

#### Scientific Production on Dynamic Pricing<sup>2</sup>



[2] www.scopus.com

### **Dynamic pricing**

Consider an e-commerce which sells a product

- Customers **visit** the product page and **decide** whether to buy or not
- By aggregating users choices, we are able to build a **demand curve**



[3] Arnoud V Den Boer. Dynamic pricing and learning: historical origins, current research, and new directions. Surveys in operations research and management science, 20(1):1–18, 201

#### G. Genalti

### The Long Tail Paradigm



Products

[4] Chris Anderson. The long tail: Why the future of business is selling less of more. Hachette Books, 2006

#### G. Genalti

# Setting and Goal

#### **Available Data**

G. Genalti



### **Problem Formulation**

- The e-commerce sells *N* products with **unlimited availability**
- At every time t, for every product j, we aim to set a percentage margin m<sub>jt</sub> defined as

$$m_{jt} \coloneqq \frac{p_{jt} - c_j}{c_j}$$

- $\circ p_{jt}$  is the selling price of product j at time t
- $\circ c_i$  is the acquisition cost for product j

### **Objective Function**

Goal: Maximize total profit

$$f_{jt}(m_{jt}) \coloneqq m_{jt} c_j v_{jt}(m_{jt})$$

•  $v_{jt}(m_{jt})$  is the **unknown** number of units products *j* would sold at time *t* by setting the margin as  $m_{jt}$ 

This implies find the **optimal pricing strategy**:

$$\boldsymbol{m}^* \coloneqq \underset{\{\boldsymbol{m}_1, \boldsymbol{m}_2, \dots\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^N f_{jt}(\boldsymbol{m}_{jt})$$



### **Algorithm - Overview**



#### G. Genalti

### **Demand Curve Model**

• To estimate the volume curve  $v_i$  we resort to *Bayesian Linear Regression* (BLR) with a basis function expansion:

$$\hat{v}_{jt}(m, \mathbf{w}_{jt}) = w_{0,jt} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} w_{i,jt} \phi_i(m)$$

- We assume that the true volume curve is non-increasing in price. This assumption:
  - Is realistic in our setting since this goods are **non-luxury**
  - Alleviates the problem of **learning from noisy samples**

### **Bernstein Polynomials**

Price values are expanded using transformed Bernstein Polynomial



### **Dealing with Price Exploration**

- - From weights' posterior distribution we sample<sup>3</sup> a single curve  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$
  - Objective function  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$  is then computed over the range of possible margins



[5] Nuara, Alessandro, et al. "A combinatorial-bandit algorithm for the online joint bid/budget optimization of pay-perclick advertising campaigns." *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 32. No. 1. 2018.

#### G. Genalti

### **Dealing with Price Exploration**

- - From weights' posterior distribution we sample<sup>3</sup> a single curve  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$
  - Objective function  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$  is then computed over the range of possible margins



[5] Nuara, Alessandro, et al. "A combinatorial-bandit algorithm for the online joint bid/budget optimization of pay-perclick advertising campaigns." *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 32. No. 1. 2018.

#### G. Genalti

### **Dealing with Price Exploration**

- - From weights' posterior distribution we sample<sup>3</sup> a single curve  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$
  - Objective function  $\hat{v}_{jt}(\cdot, \tilde{w}_{jt})$  is then computed over the range of possible margins



[5] Nuara, Alessandro, et al. "A combinatorial-bandit algorithm for the online joint bid/budget optimization of pay-perclick advertising campaigns." *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 32. No. 1. 2018.

#### G. Genalti

### **Algorithm - Overview**



#### G. Genalti

### Why Product Aggregation

- Most of the products register less than 1 sale per week
- This translates in an agent often receiving an uninformative feedback from the environment
- An aggregation procedure is required to generate informative samples
- Our goal is to design a way to aggregate data from similar long-tail products

### **Products Similarity**

The only technical data available are products' textual descriptions

 $\kappa_{ij} = tf_{ij} \log \frac{N}{df_i} \xrightarrow{\text{Cosine} \\ \text{similarity}}} \mathcal{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0.3 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0.7 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $tf_{ij}$  = number of occurrences of word *i* in description of *j* 

 $df_i$  = number of products containing *i* in description

 We resort to TF-IDF to encode each product in a vector κ<sub>j</sub>, then, cosine similarity is used to build a matrix expressing the similarity between each couple of products

### **Aggregation Structure**

#### Using a **single linkage hierarchical clustering** on similarity matrix, we construct a **tree structure**



### **Product Aggregation Strategy**

For every product:

- If its non-zero samples are greater than a threshold τ, the product can be priced alone
- If not, we climb up a level in the tree and recursively perform this check on the next meta-product



### **Data Sample Aggregation**

 Once the meta-product *α* related to product *j* has been found, the meta-product data are estimated:

$$v_{\alpha t} \coloneqq \sum_{k \in \alpha} v_{kt}$$

$$m_{\alpha t} \coloneqq \sum_{k \in \alpha} m_{kt} \frac{v_{kt}}{v_{\alpha t}}$$

 Treating the meta-product as a single product, compute its optimal margin, and apply it to product j

# **Experimental Campaign**

### **Experimental Setting**

- The solution is tested in **both synthetic** and **real** environments
- An A/B test is performed on a real e-commerce over 7826 products with an yearly turnover of 2.5MEuros
- The test lasted **T** = 8 weeks
- The same time period C of the previous year is considered as benchmark

#### **Configuration A**

 $N_A = 5694$  products **Priced by our algorithm** 

#### **Configuration B**

 $N_B = 2132$  products Priced by human specialist

### **Evaluation Metric**

 The chosen metric is the total profit collected during the period of 8 weeks

$$M(A,T) \coloneqq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j \in A} v_{jt} m_{jt} c_j$$

 Due to the different overall magnitude in the two sets' weekly profits, we compare the ratio of profits between the two periods

$$G \coloneqq \frac{M(A,T)}{M(A,C)} \frac{M(B,C)}{M(B,T)}$$

### **Overall Results**

- We **separated the analysis** between bestsellers (or *popular*) products and long-tail ones
- The metric is in favor of our algorithm, attesting an increment in profits w.r.t previous year that is 40% higher in configuration A

Popular	Long tail	Total
G <sub>P</sub>	G <sub>LT</sub>	<i>G</i>
1.18	1.91	1.4

#### **Results at Product Level**



Configuration A had a positive increase in profits in 65% of the product. Configuration B had only 29% of the products improved from previous year

### Conclusions

- We provided a novel dynamic pricing algorithm that can face the exploration-exploitation dilemma in long-tail markets
- We evaluated the methodology through a **real-world campaign**, obtaining results in favor of the algorithm

### **Future Works**

- Integration of advertising and recommendation strategies in the long-tail framework
- Online learning of products' interactions
- Analyzing the performances under a more complex user behavior model

## Thank you for the attention!

### Take a look at our work



